Poster M-01-250:

Projection-based Motion Correction for Shifted-Detector Cone-Beam CT

Joscha Maier¹, Timothy Herbst^{1,2}, Marcel Arheit, Pascal Paysan, and Marc Kachelrieß

¹German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany ²Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Heidelberg, Germany ³Varian Medical Systems Imaging Lab, Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland





Current Motion Compensation Approaches



A Siemens Healthineers Compar

Without gating (3D): Motion artifacts



With gating (4D): Sparse-view artifacts









Current Motion Compensation Approaches – Drawbacks

- Gating (and gating-based MoCo)
- requires gating signal,
- assumes periodic motion,
- has low temporal resolution,
- fails on irregular breathing:









Proposed Solution

Moving patient



View angle θ , Motion state $M(\theta)$



Static patient











Results – Simulated Projections

Moving patient (Input)

Network prediction

Static reference (GT)



Difference to GT



Results: Varian CBCT Measurement



varian





Conclusions

- Projection-based motion correction yields promising results for simulated and measured data (even though it is just trained on simulations).
- Good agreement with external respiration signal.
- May serve as an alternative to gating-based motion compensation approaches, particularly if no gating signal is available or motion is highly irregular.





Thank You!



Conference Chair Marc Kachelrieß, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

Job opportunities through DKFZ's international PhD programs or through marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de. Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct[®] GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.